

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/674,935	HIRST ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 2 RCE non-final

(1) JaNa Hines.

(3) ____.

(2) Sheridan Snedden.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 103 rejection over Richards and Williams

Claims discussed:

Claims 38 and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An amendment to claims 38 and 54 to recite "...cytotoxic CD8+ T-lymphocyte cell mediated protective response..." was agreed upon.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JaNa Hines/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1645

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

